arXiv:math/9911052v1 [math.LO] 9 Nov 1999

Showing OCA in $\mathbb{P}_{max}$-style extensions

Paul Larson*

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We give a proof that OCA holds in the $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ extension of $L(\mathbb{R})$. The proof is general enough to be adapted to most $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ variations.

1 Introduction

This paper is an essentially expository presentation of a proof that OCA holds in the $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ extension of $L(\mathbb{R})$, assuming $\mathrm{AD}^{L(\mathbb{R})}$. The proof consists of putting together standard facts about $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ and OCA; essentially none of the ideas here are due to the author. One purpose in writing this is to make public the details of a remark made in [2]. Another is to show that OCA is achieved fairly easily in $\mathbb{P}_{max}$-style extensions. One possible application of this fact is that if it turns out to be possible to have $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ variations with $c > \omega_2$, OCA should hold in such an extension.

Instead of $\mathbb{P}_{max}$, however, we will work with a variation called $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$. The main difference between the two is that $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ conditions are individual models, while $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ conditions are sequences of models. $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is really just the limit points of $\mathbb{P}_{max}$. It is a standand fact [4] (under $\mathrm{AD}^{L(\mathbb{R})}$) that every $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ extension is $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ extension and vice versa.

2 Iterable structures

The following is the definition of iterability for sequences of models.

Definition 2.1 *([3]) Suppose $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$ is a countable sequence such that for each k, N_k is a countable transitive model of ZFC* and such that for all k, $N_k \in N_{k+1}$ and $\omega_1^{N_k} = \omega_1^{N_{k+1}}$. An iteration of $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$ is a sequence*

$$\langle\langle N^\beta_k : k < \omega\rangle, G_\alpha, j_{\alpha,\beta} : \alpha < \beta < \gamma\rangle$$

such that for all $\alpha < \beta < \gamma$ the following hold.

---

*This material is based in part upon work supported by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization under a grant awarded in 1998.

1. *$j_{\alpha,\beta} : \cup\{N^\alpha_k \mid k < \omega\} \to \cup\{N^\beta_k \mid k < \omega\}$ is a commuting family of Σ_0 elementary embeddings.*
2. *For all $k < \omega$, $G_\beta \cap N^\beta_k$ is an N^β_k-normal ultrafilter on $(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1))^{N^\beta_k}$.*
3. *If $\beta + 1 < \gamma$ then $N^{\beta+1}_k$ is the $\cup\{N^\beta_k \mid k < \omega\}$-ultrapower of N^β_k by G_β and $j_{\beta,\beta+1} : \cup\{N^\beta_k \mid k < \omega\} \to \cup\{N^{\beta+1}_k \mid k < \omega\}$ is the induced Σ_0 elementary embedding.*
4. *For each $\beta < \gamma$ if β is a limit ordinal then for every $k < \omega$, N^β_k is the direct limit of $\{N^\alpha_k \mid \alpha < \beta\}$ and for all $\alpha < \beta$, $j_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the induced Σ_0 elementary embedding.*

If γ is a limit ordinal then γ is the length of the iteration, otherwise the length of the iteration is δ where $\delta + 1 = \gamma$.

A sequence $\langle N^*_k : k < \omega\rangle$ is an iterate of $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$ if it occurs in an iteration of $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$.

The sequence $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$ is iterable if every iterate of it is well founded.

If $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, then $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$ is B-iterable if it is iterable, and if for every iterate $\langle N^*_k : k < \omega\rangle$ of $\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$, $j(B \cap N_0) = B \cap N^*_0$, where j is the induced embedding and $j(B \cap N_0)$ is defined to be $\cup\{j(a) : a \in N_0$ and $a \subset B\}$.

The following lemma is the main tool for verifying that the sequences as above are iterable.

Lemma 2.2 *([3]) Suppose*

$$\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle$$

is a countable sequence such that for each k, N_k is a countable transitive model of ZFC and such that for all k,*

$$N_k \in N_{k+1}$$

and

$$(\omega_1)^{N_k} = (\omega_1)^{N_{k+1}}.$$

Suppose that for all $k < \omega$

(i) if $C \in N_k$ is closed and unbounded in $\omega_1^{N_0}$, then there exists $D \in N_{k+1}$ such that $D \subset C$, D is closed and unbounded in C, and

$$D \in L[x]$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{R} \cap N_{k+1}$.

(ii) for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \cap N_k$, $x^\# \in N_{k+1}$.

(iii) for all $k < \omega$,

$$|N_k|^{N_{k+1}} = (\omega_1)^{N_0}.$$

Then the sequence $\langle N_k : k < \omega \rangle$ is iterable.

We quote a lemma from [3] showing that under certain circumstances the ultrafilter needed to iterate a given sequence exists.

Lemma 2.3 *([3]) Suppose that*

$$\langle N_k : k < \omega \rangle$$

is a sequence of countable transitive sets such that for all $k < \omega$, $N_k \in N_{k+1}$,

$$N_k \models ZFC^*,$$

and

$$N_k \cap (I_{NS})^{N_{k+1}} = N_{k+1} \cap (I_{NS})^{N_{k+2}}.$$

Suppose that $k \in \omega$ and that

$$a \in (\mathcal{P}(\omega_1))^{N_k} \setminus (I_{NS})^{N_{k+1}}.$$

Then there exists

$$G \subset \cup\{(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1))^{N_i} \mid i < \omega\}$$

such that $a \in G$ and such that for all $i < \omega$, $G \cap N_i$ is a uniform N_i-normal ultrafilter.

The sequences of models in $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ variations satisfy a variation of ψ_{AC}.

Definition 2.4 *([3]) ψ^*_{AC}: Suppose that $\langle S_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ and $\langle T_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ are each sequences of stationary, costationary sets. Then there exists a sequence $\langle \delta_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$ of ordinals less than ω_2 such that for each $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists a bijection*

$$\pi : \omega_1 \to \delta_\alpha,$$

and a closed unbounded set $C \subset \omega_1$ such that

$$\{\eta < \omega_1 \mid \ o.t.(\pi[\eta]) \in T_\alpha\} \cap C = S_\alpha \cap C.$$

The reason for this variation is that our conditions are sequences of models, and iterates of sequences modeling ψ^*_{AC} model ψ^*_{AC}. This isn't so for ψ_{AC}.

3 $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$

Definition 3.1 *([3]) $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is the set of pairs $(\langle M_k : k < \omega \rangle, a)$ such that the following hold.*

1. *$a \in M_0, a \subset \omega_1^{M_0}$, and $\omega_1^{M_0} = \omega_1^{L[a,x]}$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R} \cap M_0$.*
2. *Each M_k is a countable transitive model of ZFC*.*

3. $M_k \in M_{k+1}, \omega_1^{M_k} = \omega_1^{M_{k+1}}$.
4. $(I_{NS})^{M_{k+1}} \cap M_k = (I_{NS})^{M_{k+2}} \cap M_k$.
5. $\cup\{M_k : k < \omega\} \models \psi^*_{AC}$.
6. $\langle M_k \mid k < \omega\rangle$ *is iterable.*
7. $\exists X \in M_0$ *such that* $X \subset \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{M_0} \setminus I_{NS}^{M_1}$*, such that* $M_0 \models$ "$|X| = \omega_1$," *and such that for all* $A, B \in X$*, if* $A \neq B$ *then* $A \cap B \in I_{NS}^{M_0}$.

The ordering on $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ *is as follows.*

$$(\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle, b) < (\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a)$$

if $\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle \in N_0, \langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle$ *is hereditarily countable in* N_0 *and there exists an iteration*

$$j : \langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle \to \langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle$$

such that:

1. $j(a) = b$,
2. $\langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle \in N_0$ *and* $j \in N_0$,
3. $j(I_{NS}^{M_{k+1}}) \cap M^*_k = (I_{NS})^{N_1} \cap M^*_k$ *for all* $k < \omega$,

The following lemma follows from the fact that $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ conditions model ψ^*_{AC}. The analogous lemma holds in the other $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ variations whose conditions are sequences of models.

Lemma 3.2 *([3]) Suppose that* $(\langle M_k \mid k < \omega\rangle, a) \in \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$. *Suppose that*

$$j_1 : \langle M_k \mid k < \omega\rangle \to \langle M^1_k \mid k < \omega\rangle$$

and

$$j_2 : \langle M_k \mid k < \omega\rangle \to \langle M^2_k \mid k < \omega\rangle$$

are well founded iterations such that $j_1(a) = j_2(a)$.

Then

$$\langle M^1_k \mid k < \omega\rangle = \langle M^2_k \mid k < \omega\rangle$$

and $j_1 = j_2$.

Since the order on $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is determined by the existence of elementary embeddings, each condition $(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a)$ in the generic is iterated ω_1 times through the conditions below it in the generic. In fact, by Lemma 3.2, each $(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a)$ in the generic is uniquely iterated into the extension to a structure $\langle\langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle, a_G\rangle$, where

$$a_G = \cup\{a \mid \exists(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G\},$$

for generic G. The following definitions apply to all $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ variations.

Definition 3.3 *([4]) A filter $G \subset \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is semi-generic if for all $\alpha < \omega_1$ there exists a condition $\langle M_k : k < \omega_1 \rangle \in G$ such that $\alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}$.*

$A_G = \cup\{a \mid \exists(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G\}$.

$$\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)_G = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{M_0^*} \mid (\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G\},$$

and

$$I_G = \cup\{I_{NS}^{M_1^*} \cap M_0^* \mid (\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G\},$$

where for $(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G$, $\langle M_k^* : k < \omega\rangle$ is the iterate of $\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle$ by the unique iteration of $\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle$ that sends a to A_G.

The following lemma summarizes the basic analysis of $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$.

Theorem 3.4 *([3]) Assume $AD^{L(\mathbb{R})}$. Then $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is ω-closed and homogeneous.*

Suppose $G \subset \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ is $L(\mathbb{R})$-generic. Then

$$L(\mathbb{R})[G] \models \omega_1 - DC$$

and in $L(\mathbb{R})[G]$:

1. *$\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)_G = \mathcal{P}(\omega_1)$.*
2. *I_G is a normal saturated ideal;*
3. *I_G is the nonstationary ideal.*

The following theorem is implicit in [3], and is the key to the main theorem.

Theorem 3.5 *([3]) Assume AD holds in $L(\mathbb{R})$. Then for each set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $A \in L(\mathbb{R})$ there is a condition $(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ such that each M_k is a model of ZFC, and such that for all stationary set preserving forcings P in M_0, if $G \subset P$ is M_k-generic for all $k < \omega$, then $(\langle M_k[G] : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ and*

1. *$A \cap M_0[G] \in M_0[G]$,*
2. *$\langle H(\omega_1)^{M_0[G]}, A \cap M_0[G]\rangle \prec \langle H(\omega_1), A\rangle$,*
3. *$\langle M_k[G] : k < \omega\rangle$ is A-iterable.*

Moreover, the set of such conditions is dense in $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$.

4 OCA

Definition 4.1 *The Open Coloring Axiom (OCA) is the statement that if $O \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is open and symmetric, and $A \subset \mathbb{R}$, then either there is an uncountable set $B \subset A$ such that $[B]^2 \subset O$, or A is the union of countably many sets $\langle C_n \mid n \in \omega\rangle$ such that each $[C_n]^2 \cap O = \emptyset$.*

The relevant theorem about OCA is the following.

Theorem 4.2 *([3]) Say that $A \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is open and symmetric, and that $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ is not the union of ω many sets Y such that $[Y]^2 \cap A = \emptyset$. Then there is an $X' \subset X$ of cardinality 2^ω such that the partial order consisting of finite $a \subset X'$ such that $[a]^2 \subset A$, ordered by inclusion, is $< 2^\omega$-c.c.*

The importance of this theorem is that if CH holds then there is a c.c.c. forcing to obtain a set witnessing any given instance of OCA.

Theorem 4.3 *Assume $AD^{L(\mathbb{R})}$, and let $G \subset \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ be $L(\mathbb{R})$-generic. Then OCA holds in $L(\mathbb{R})[G]$.*

Proof: Let x be a real coding an open, symmetric subset O of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and let $\tau \in L(\mathbb{R})$ be a $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$-name for a set of reals. Let $(\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in \mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ force that the realization of τ is not the union of ω many sets A_i such that for each i and each $x, y \in A_i$, $(x, y) \notin O$. Let z be a real coding $(\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle, a)$, let B be a set of reals coding τ and let $A = B \times \{z\}$. For this set A, let $(\langle M_k : k < \omega\rangle, b)$ be a $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ condition as given by Lemma 3.5. We may assume by forcing over $\bigcup\{M_k : k < \omega\}$ if necessary that CH holds in M_0.

In M_0, build a decreasing ω_1-sequence $\langle p_\alpha = (\langle M^\alpha_k : k < \omega\rangle, a^\alpha) : \alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}\rangle$ of $\mathbb{P}_{max}$ conditions as follows. Let $\langle S^\alpha_i : i < \omega,\ \alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}\rangle \in M_0$ be a set of mutually disjoint subsets of $\omega_1^{M_0}$ which are stationary in M_1, and let $\langle A^\alpha_i : i < \omega,\ \alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}\rangle \in M_0$ be a listing of all the countable sequences of closed sets of reals in M_0. As we build our sequence, let $j_{\alpha,\beta}$ be the embedding witnessing that $p_\beta < p_\alpha$. Further, as we build our sequence, build $\langle B^\alpha_i : i < \omega,\ \alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}\rangle$ to enumerate $\bigcup\{\mathcal{P}(\omega_1)^{M^\alpha_k} \setminus (I_{NS}^{M^\alpha_{k+1}}) : k < \omega, \alpha < \omega_1^{M_0}\}$.

Let $(\langle N_k : k < \omega\rangle, a) = p_0$. Given p_α, the α-th member of our sequence, we choose $p_{\alpha+1}$ as follows. Since $H(\omega_1)^{M_0}$ is elementary in $H(\omega_1)$ with the predicate A, for each condition q in $(\mathbb{P}^*_{max})^{M_0}$ $(= \mathbb{P}^*_{max} \cap M_0)$, and each countable sequence $\langle A_i : i < \omega\rangle$ of closed subsets of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ in M_0, there is a condition $q' < q$ such that either q' forces some real to be in τ which is not in the union of the closed sets, or q' forces two reals x and y such that $(x, y) \in O$ to be in the same A_i. Given p_α as q and $\langle A^\alpha_i : i < \omega\rangle$ as $\langle A_i : i < \omega\rangle$, let $p_{\alpha+1}$ be the corresponding q'.

The argument at limit stages is standard. To pick p_α, we apply the proof of the ω-closure of $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$. Let $\langle \alpha_i : i < \omega\rangle$ be an increasing cofinal sequence below α. Let $j_{\alpha_i\infty}$ be the composition of all the $j_{\alpha e \alpha_{e+1}}$ for $e \geq i$. Then $\langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle = \langle j_{\alpha_k\infty}(M^{\alpha_k}_k) : k < \omega\rangle$ is an iterable sequence, by Lemma 2.2. Applying Theorem 3.5 in M_0, pick a $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ condition $(\langle \bar{M}_k : k < \omega\rangle, d)$ containing a real coding $\langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle$. Then in $\bar{M}_0$, we can build an iteration j of $\langle M^*_k : k < \omega\rangle$ of length $\omega_1^{\bar{M}_0}$ such that $\omega_1^{M^*_0} \in j(j_{\alpha_i,\infty}(j_{\gamma,\alpha_i}(B^\gamma_k)))$ if $\omega_1^{M^*_0} \in S^\gamma_k$, for $k < \omega$ and $\gamma < \omega_1^{M_0}$, and such that for each $k < \omega$, $j(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1^{M*_k}) \cap I_{NS}^{M^*_{k+1}}) = j(\mathcal{P}(\omega_1^{M*_k})) \cap I_{NS}^{\bar{M}_1}$. Then letting $a^\alpha = j(j_{\alpha_0\infty}(a^{\alpha_0}))$ and $j_{\alpha_i\alpha} = j \circ j_{\alpha_i\infty}$, we let $p_\alpha = (\langle \bar{M}_k : k < \omega\rangle, a^\alpha)$.

By Theorem 4.2, there is a c.c.c. forcing in M_0 to get a O-homogeneous set of cardinality $\omega_1^{M_0}$ contained in the set of reals y for which some p_α forces y to be in τ. Let X be $\bigcup\{M_k : k < \omega\}$-generic for this forcing, and let a be the union of the a^α. Then $(\langle M_k[X] : k < \omega\rangle, a)$ is a $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ condition below every p_α, and by Theorem 3.5, $(\langle M_k[X] : k < \omega\rangle, a)$ is A-iterable. Then for every $\mathbb{P}^*_{max}$ generic G with $(\langle M_k[X] : k < \omega\rangle, a) \in G$, if j is the unique iteration sending $\langle M_k[X] : k < \omega\rangle$ through the generic, $j(X) \subset \tau_G$ is a witness for the given instance of OCA. ■

References

[1] T. Jech, *Set Theory*, Academic Press, 1978

[2] P. Larson, S. Todorčević, *Chain Conditions in Maximal Models*, in preparation

[3] S. Todorčević, *Partition Problems in Topology*, Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 84, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1989

[4] W.H. Woodin, *The axiom of determinacy, forcing axioms, and the nonstationary ideal*, in preparation

Department of Computer
and Systems Engineering
Kobe University
Kobe 657-8501
Japan

larson@alan.scitec.kobe-u.ac.jp